Interplay of flavour and CP symmetries

C. Hagedorn

EC 'Universe', TUM, Munich, Germany

ULBPhysTh Seminar, Université Libre de Bruxelles, 28.03.2014, Brussels, Belgium



Outline

- lepton mixing: parametrization and data
- combination of flavour and CP symmetries
 - general idea
 - examples: $G_f = S_4$ and $G_f = \Delta(48)$
 - predictions for leptogenesis
- conclusions & outlook



charged lepton and (Majorana) neutrino mass terms

$$e^c_a m_{e,ab} \, l_b$$
 and $u_a m_{
u,ab} \,
u_b$

cannot be diagonalized simultaneously

going to the mass basis

 $U_e^{\dagger} m_e^{\dagger} m_e U_e = \text{diag}(m_e^2, m_{\mu}^2, m_{\tau}^2) \text{ and } U_{\nu}^T m_{\nu} U_{\nu} = \text{diag}(m_1, m_2, m_3)$

leads to non-diagonal charged current interactions

 $\bar{l} W^{-} U_{PMNS} \nu$ with $U_{PMNS} = U_{e}^{\dagger} U_{\nu}$



Parametrization (PDG) $U_{PMNS} = \tilde{U} \operatorname{diag}(1, e^{i\alpha/2}, e^{i(\beta/2 + \delta)})$

with

$$\tilde{U} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix}$$

and $s_{ij} = \sin \theta_{ij}$, $c_{ij} = \cos \theta_{ij}$ Jarlskog invariant J_{CP}

 $J_{CP} = \operatorname{Im} \left[U_{PMNS,11} U_{PMNS,13}^* U_{PMNS,31}^* U_{PMNS,33} \right]$ $= \frac{1}{8} \sin 2\theta_{12} \sin 2\theta_{23} \sin 2\theta_{13} \cos \theta_{13} \sin \delta$

– p. 4/79

Parametrization (PDG) $U_{PMNS} = \tilde{U} \operatorname{diag}(1, e^{i\alpha/2}, e^{i(\beta/2 + \delta)})$

with

$$\tilde{U} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix}$$

and $s_{ij} = \sin \theta_{ij}$, $c_{ij} = \cos \theta_{ij}$ Majorana invariant I_1

$$I_{1} = \operatorname{Im} \left[U_{PMNS,12}^{2} \left(U_{PMNS,11}^{*} \right)^{2} \right]$$
$$= \sin^{2} \theta_{12} \cos^{2} \theta_{12} \cos^{4} \theta_{13} \sin \alpha$$



Parametrization (PDG) $U_{PMNS} = \tilde{U} \operatorname{diag}(1, e^{i\alpha/2}, e^{i(\beta/2 + \delta)})$

with

$$\tilde{U} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{12}c_{13} & s_{12}c_{13} & s_{13}e^{-i\delta} \\ -s_{12}c_{23} - c_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{12}c_{23} - s_{12}s_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & s_{23}c_{13} \\ s_{12}s_{23} - c_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & -c_{12}s_{23} - s_{12}c_{23}s_{13}e^{i\delta} & c_{23}c_{13} \end{pmatrix}$$

and $s_{ij} = \sin \theta_{ij}$, $c_{ij} = \cos \theta_{ij}$ Majorana invariant I_2

$$I_2 = \operatorname{Im} \left[U_{PMNS,13}^2 \left(U_{PMNS,11}^* \right)^2 \right]$$
$$= \sin^2 \theta_{13} \cos^2 \theta_{12} \cos^2 \theta_{13} \sin \beta$$

- p. 6/79

Latest global fits

(Capozzi et al. ('13))





Latest global fits

(Capozzi et al. ('13))





Latest global fits NH [IH] (Capozzi et al. ('13))

best fit and 1σ error 3σ range

 $\sin^2 \theta_{13} = 0.0234[9]^{+0.0022[1]}_{-0.0018[21]} \qquad 0.0177[8] \le \sin^2 \theta_{13} \le 0.0297[300]$

 $\sin^2 \theta_{12} = 0.308^{+0.017}_{-0.017}$

 $0.357[63] \le \sin^2 \theta_{23} \le 0.641[59]$

 $0.259 < \sin^2 \theta_{12} \le 0.359$

 $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = \begin{cases} 0.425[37]^{+0.029[59]}_{-0.027[9]} \\ [0.531 \le \sin^2 \theta_{23} \le 0.610] \end{cases}$

$$\begin{split} \delta &= 1.39[5] \, \pi^{+0.33[24] \, \pi}_{-0.27[39] \, \pi} & 0 \leq \delta \leq 2 \, \pi \\ & \alpha \, , \, \beta & \text{unconstrained} \end{split}$$



Latest global fits NH [IH] (Capozzi et al. ('13))

$$||U_{PMNS}|| \approx \begin{pmatrix} 0.82 & 0.55 & 0.15 \\ 0.40[39] & 0.65 & 0.64[5] \\ 0.40[2] & 0.52 & 0.75[4] \end{pmatrix}$$

and no information on Majorana phases

 $\label{eq:match} \begin{matrix} \Downarrow \\ \\ \mbox{Mismatch in lepton flavour space is large!} \end{matrix}$



- interpret this mismatch in lepton flavour space as mismatch of residual symmetries G_{ν} and G_{e}
- if we want to predict lepton mixing, we have to derive this mismatch
- let us assume that there is a symmetry, broken to G_{ν} and G_{e}
- this symmetry is in the following a combination of a

finite, discrete, non-abelian symmetry G_f and CP (Feruglio et al. ('12,'13), Holthausen et al. ('12), Grimus/Rebelo ('95))



- interpret this mismatch in lepton flavour space as mismatch of residual symmetries G_{ν} and G_{e}
- if we want to predict lepton mixing, we have to derive this mismatch
- let us assume that there is a symmetry, broken to G_{ν} and G_{e}
- this symmetry is in the following a combination of a

finite, discrete, non-abelian symmetry G_f and CP (Feruglio et al. ('12,'13), Holthausen et al. ('12), Grimus/Rebelo ('95))



- interpret this mismatch in lepton flavour space as mismatch of residual symmetries G_{ν} and G_{e}
- if we want to predict lepton mixing, we have to derive this mismatch
- let us assume that there is a symmetry, broken to G_{ν} and G_{e}
- this symmetry is in the following a combination of a

finite, discrete, non-abelian symmetry G_f and CP (Feruglio et al. ('12,'13), Holthausen et al. ('12), Grimus/Rebelo ('95))



- interpret this mismatch in lepton flavour space as mismatch of residual symmetries G_{ν} and G_{e}
- if we want to predict lepton mixing, we have to derive this mismatch
- let us assume that there is a symmetry, broken to G_{ν} and G_{e}
- this symmetry is in the following a combination of a

finite, discrete, non-abelian symmetry G_f and CP (Feruglio et al. ('12,'13), Holthausen et al. ('12), Grimus/Rebelo ('95))



Idea:

Relate lepton mixing to how G_f and CP are broken Interpretation as mismatch of embedding of different subgroups G_{ν} and G_e into G_f and CP





<u>Idea</u>:

Relate lepton mixing to how G_f and CP are broken Interpretation as mismatch of embedding of different subgroups G_{ν} and G_e into G_f and CP





Idea:

Relate lepton mixing to how G_f and CP are broken Interpretation as mismatch of embedding of different subgroups G_{ν} and G_e into G_f and CP



An example: $\mu\tau$ reflection symmetry (Harrison/Scott ('02,'04), Grimus/Lavoura ('03))

- p. 17/79



Further requirements

- two/three non-trivial mixing angles \Rightarrow irred 3-dim rep of G_f
- "maximize" predictability of approach



Definition of generalized CP transformation (see e.g. Branco et al. ('11))

$$\phi_i \xrightarrow{\mathsf{CP}} X_{ij} \phi_j^\star$$

with X is unitary and symmetric



Definition of generalized CP transformation (see e.g. Branco et al. ('11))

$$\phi_i \xrightarrow{\mathsf{CP}} X_{ij} \phi_j^\star$$

with X is unitary and symmetric; apply CP twice

$$\phi \xrightarrow{\mathsf{CP}} X \phi^{\star} \xrightarrow{\mathsf{CP}} X X^{\star} \phi = \phi$$



Definition of generalized CP transformation (see e.g. Branco et al. ('11))

$$\phi_i \xrightarrow{\mathsf{CP}} X_{ij} \phi_j^\star$$

with X is unitary and symmetric. Realize direct product of $Z_2 \subset G_f$ and CP



Definition of generalized CP transformation (see e.g. Branco et al. ('11))

$$\phi_i \xrightarrow{\mathsf{CP}} X_{ij} \phi_j^\star$$

with X is unitary and symmetric.

Realize direct product of $Z_2 \subset G_f$ and CP; Z generates Z_2

$$\phi \xrightarrow{\mathsf{CP}} X\phi^* \xrightarrow{Z_2} XZ^*\phi^* \text{ and } \phi \xrightarrow{Z_2} Z\phi \xrightarrow{\mathsf{CP}} ZX\phi^*$$



Definition of generalized CP transformation (see e.g. Branco et al. ('11))

$$\phi_i \xrightarrow{\mathsf{CP}} X_{ij} \phi_j^\star$$

with X is unitary and symmetric.

Realize direct product of $Z_2 \subset G_f$ and CP; Z generates Z_2

$$XZ^{\star} - ZX = 0$$



• neutrino sector: $Z_2 \times CP$ preserved

neutrino mass term $\nu_a m_{\nu,ab} \nu_b$ is invariant under $\nu_{\alpha} \rightarrow Z_{\alpha\beta} \nu_{\beta}$ is invariant under generalized CP transformation $\nu_{\alpha} \rightarrow X_{\alpha\beta} \nu_{\beta}^{\star}$ charged lepton sector: Z_N , $N \ge 3$, preserved

> charged lepton mass term $e_a^c m_{e,ab} l_b$ is invariant under $l_{\alpha} \rightarrow Q_{e,\alpha\beta} l_{\beta}$



• neutrino sector: $Z_2 \times CP$ preserved

ightarrow neutrino mass matrix $m_{
u}$ fulfills

 $Z^T m_{\nu} Z = m_{\nu}$ and $X m_{\nu} X = m_{\nu}^{\star}$

• charged lepton sector: Z_N , $N \ge 3$, preserved

 \rightarrow charged lepton mass matrix m_e fulfills



• neutrino sector: $Z_2 \times CP$ preserved and generated by ($\nu = \Omega_{\nu} \nu'$)

$$X = \Omega_{\nu} \Omega_{\nu}^{T}$$
 and $Z = \Omega_{\nu} Z^{diag} \Omega_{\nu}^{\dagger}$
 $Z^{diag} = \operatorname{diag} (-1, 1, -1)$ and Ω_{ν} unitary

• charged lepton sector: Z_N , $N \ge 3$, preserved

ightarrow charged lepton mass matrix m_e fulfills



• neutrino sector: $Z_2 \times CP$ preserved

ightarrow neutrino mass matrix $m_{
u}$ fulfills

 $Z^{diag}[\Omega_{\nu}^{T}m_{\nu}\Omega_{\nu}]Z^{diag} = [\Omega_{\nu}^{T}m_{\nu}\Omega_{\nu}] \text{ and } [\Omega_{\nu}^{T}m_{\nu}\Omega_{\nu}] = [\Omega_{\nu}^{T}m_{\nu}\Omega_{\nu}]^{\star}$

• charged lepton sector: Z_N , $N \ge 3$, preserved

ightarrow charged lepton mass matrix m_e fulfills



• neutrino sector: $Z_2 \times CP$ preserved

ightarrow neutrino mass matrix $m_{
u}$ is diagonalized by

 $\Omega_{\nu}(X,Z)R(\theta)K_{\nu}$

• charged lepton sector: Z_N , $N \ge 3$, preserved

ightarrow charged lepton mass matrix m_e fulfills



• neutrino sector: $Z_2 \times CP$ preserved

ightarrow neutrino mass matrix $m_{
u}$ is diagonalized by

 $\Omega_{\nu}(X,Z)R(\theta)K_{\nu}$

• charged lepton sector: Z_N , $N \ge 3$, preserved and generated by

$$\begin{split} Q_e &= \Omega_e Q_e^{diag} \Omega_e^{\dagger} \quad \text{with} \quad \Omega_e \quad \text{unitary} \\ Q_e^{diag} &= \text{diag} \left(\omega_N^{n_e}, \omega_N^{n_\mu}, \omega_N^{n_\tau} \right) \\ \text{and} \quad n_e \neq n_\mu \neq n_\tau \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_N = e^{2\pi i/N} \end{split}$$



• neutrino sector: $Z_2 \times CP$ preserved

ightarrow neutrino mass matrix $m_{
u}$ is diagonalized by

 $\Omega_{\nu}(X,Z)R(\theta)K_{\nu}$

• charged lepton sector: Z_N , $N \ge 3$, preserved

ightarrow charged lepton mass matrix m_e fulfills

 $\Omega_e^{\dagger}(Q_e) m_e^{\dagger} m_e \Omega_e(Q_e)$ is diagonal



• neutrino sector: $Z_2 \times CP$ preserved

ightarrow neutrino mass matrix $m_{
u}$ is diagonalized by

 $\Omega_{\nu}(X,Z)R(\theta)K_{\nu}$

• charged lepton sector: Z_N , $N \ge 3$, preserved

ightarrow charged lepton mass matrix m_e fulfills

 $\Omega_e^{\dagger}(Q_e) m_e^{\dagger} m_e \Omega_e(Q_e)$ is diagonal

conclusion: PMNS mixing matrix reads

 $U_{PMNS} = \Omega_e^{\dagger} \Omega_{\nu} R(\theta) K_{\nu}$ in $\bar{l} W^- U_{PMNS} \nu$



 $U_{PMNS} = \Omega_e^{\dagger} \Omega_{\nu} R(\theta) K_{\nu}$

- 3 unphysical phases are removed by $\Omega_e \rightarrow \Omega_e K_e$
- U_{PMNS} contains one parameter θ
- permutations of rows and columns of U_{PMNS} possible

Predictions:

 \Downarrow

Mixing angles and CP phases are predicted in terms of one parameter θ only, up to permutations of rows/columns



We want to consistently combine G_f and the generalized CP transformation $\phi_i \xrightarrow{CP} X_{ij} \phi_j^*$ \downarrow "closure" relations have to hold

We want to consistently combine G_f and the generalized CP transformation $\phi_i \xrightarrow{CP} X_{ij} \phi_j^*$ \downarrow "closure" relations have to hold:

assume ϕ transforms as 3-dim rep of G_f , then

$$\phi \xrightarrow{\mathsf{CP}} X\phi^* \xrightarrow{G_f} XA^*\phi^* \xrightarrow{\mathsf{CP}} XA^*X^*\phi = (X^*AX)^*\phi$$



We want to consistently combine G_f and the generalized CP transformation $\phi_i \xrightarrow{CP} X_{ij} \phi_j^*$ \downarrow "closure" relations have to hold:

 $(X^*AX)^* = A'$ with in general $A \neq A'$ and $A, A' \in G_f$



We want to consistently combine G_f and the generalized CP transformation $\phi_i \xrightarrow{CP} X_{ij} \phi_j^*$ \downarrow "closure" relations have to hold:

 $(X^*AX)^* = A'$ with in general $A \neq A'$ and $A, A' \in G_f$

compare to relation for having direct product of Z_2 and CP

 $XZ^{\star} - ZX = 0$


We want to consistently combine G_f and the generalized CP transformation $\phi_i \xrightarrow{CP} X_{ij} \phi_j^*$ \downarrow "closure" relations have to hold:

 $(X^*AX)^* = A'$ with in general $A \neq A'$ and $A, A' \in G_f$

compare to relation for having direct product of Z_2 and CP

 $\left(X^{\star}ZX\right)^{\star} = Z$



- fulfilling these conditions ensures a consistent theory, but can lead to enlarged symmetry group
- additional requirement in order not to change representation content of G_f (Chen et al. ('14)):

all representations transform into complex conjugate under *CP*



- fulfilling these conditions ensures a consistent theory, but can lead to enlarged symmetry group
- additional requirement in order not to change representation content of G_f (Chen et al. ('14)):

all representations transform into complex conjugate under *CP*

[mathematically: mapping induced via X has to be 'class-inverting' automorphism $(A' \sim A^{-1})$]



- fulfilling these conditions ensures a consistent theory, but can lead to enlarged symmetry group
- additional requirement in order not to change representation content of G_f (Chen et al. ('14)):

all representations transform into complex conjugate under *CP*

- if not fulfilled or not possible to fulfill for G_f
 - \Rightarrow constraints on representations

 $[S_4 \text{ fulfilled};$

 $\Delta(48)$ not fulfilled in general, only for certain representations]



Generators in rep. 3': $(\omega = e^{2\pi i/3})$

$$S = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & -1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & -1 \end{pmatrix} , \quad T = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega \end{pmatrix} , \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

which fulfill

$$S^2 = 1$$
, $T^3 = 1$, $U^2 = 1$,
 $(ST)^3 = 1$, $(SU)^2 = 1$, $(TU)^2 = 1$, $(STU)^4 = 1$



A transformation X in rep. 3' for Z = S is

$$X_{\mathbf{3}'} = \left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

which fulfills

$$XX^{\dagger} = XX^{\star} = \mathbb{1}$$
$$(X^{\star}AX)^{\star} = A' , \quad XZ^{\star} - ZX = 0$$



A transformation X in rep. 3' for Z = S is

$$X_{\mathbf{3}'} = \left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array}\right)$$

which fulfills

$$XX^{\dagger} = XX^{\star} = \mathbb{1}$$
$$(X^{\star}AX)^{\star} = A' , \quad XZ^{\star} - ZX = 0$$

Residual symmetry G_e is generated by T.



Maximal θ_{23} and δ from $G_e = Z_3$, Z = S and $X_{3'}$ (Harrison/Scott ('02,'04), Grimus/Lavoura ('03), Feruglio et al. ('12,'13))

$$U_{PMNS} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 2\cos\theta & \sqrt{2} & 2\sin\theta \\ -\cos\theta + i\sqrt{3}\sin\theta & \sqrt{2} & -\sin\theta - i\sqrt{3}\cos\theta \\ -\cos\theta - i\sqrt{3}\sin\theta & \sqrt{2} & -\sin\theta + i\sqrt{3}\cos\theta \end{pmatrix} K_{\nu}$$

$$\sin^2 \theta_{13} = \frac{2}{3} \sin^2 \theta , \quad \sin^2 \theta_{12} = \frac{1}{2 + \cos 2\theta} , \quad \sin^2 \theta_{23} = \frac{1}{2}$$

and
 $|\sin \delta| = 1 , \quad |J_{CP}| = \frac{|\sin 2\theta|}{6\sqrt{3}} , \quad \sin \alpha = 0 , \quad \sin \beta = 0$

– p. 44/79

Maximal θ_{23} and δ from $G_e = Z_3$, Z = S and $X_{3'}$ (Harrison/Scott ('02,'04), Grimus/Lavoura ('03), Feruglio et al. ('12,'13))

$$U_{PMNS} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} 2\cos\theta & \sqrt{2} & 2\sin\theta \\ -\cos\theta + i\sqrt{3}\sin\theta & \sqrt{2} & -\sin\theta - i\sqrt{3}\cos\theta \\ -\cos\theta - i\sqrt{3}\sin\theta & \sqrt{2} & -\sin\theta + i\sqrt{3}\cos\theta \end{pmatrix} K_{\nu}$$

$$\sin^2 \theta_{13} \approx 0.023$$
, $\sin^2 \theta_{12} \approx 0.341$, $\sin^2 \theta_{23} = \frac{1}{2}$
and

 $|\sin \delta| = 1$, $|J_{CP}| \approx 0.0348$, $\sin \alpha = 0$, $\sin \beta = 0$ for $\theta \approx 0.185$

– p. 45/79

Maximal θ_{23} and δ from $G_e=Z_3$, Z=S and $X_{3'}$ (Feruglio et al. ('12,'13))





Maximal θ_{23} and δ from $G_e=Z_3$, Z=S and $X_{3'}$ (Feruglio et al. ('12,'13))





Maximal θ_{23} and δ from $G_e=Z_3$, Z=S and $X_{3'}$ (Feruglio et al. ('12,'13))





Generators in rep. 3: $\left(\omega = e^{2\pi i/3}\right)$ (Miller et al. ('16), Fairbairn et al. ('64), Luhn et al. ('07))

$$a = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \omega & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \omega^2 \end{pmatrix} , \quad c = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 - \sqrt{3} & 1 + \sqrt{3} \\ 1 + \sqrt{3} & 1 & 1 - \sqrt{3} \\ 1 - \sqrt{3} & 1 + \sqrt{3} & 1 \end{pmatrix} , \quad d = a^{-1}ca$$

which satisfy

$$a^{3} = 1$$
, $c^{4} = 1$, $d^{4} = 1$,
 $cd = dc$, $aca^{-1} = c^{-1}d^{-1}$



A transformation X in rep. 3 for $Z = c^2$ is

(Ding/Zhou ('13))

$$X_{\mathbf{3}} = d \left(\begin{array}{rrrr} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

which fulfills

$$XX^{\dagger} = XX^{\star} = \mathbb{1}$$
$$(X^{\star}AX)^{\star} = A' , \quad XZ^{\star} - ZX = 0$$

Residual symmetry G_e is generated by a.



Angles and phases from $G_e=Z_3$, $Z=c^2$ and X_3 (Ding/Zhou ('13))

$$||U_{PMNS}|| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{4 - (\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6})\cos 2\theta} & \sqrt{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{4 + (\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6})\cos 2\theta} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{4 + (-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6})\cos 2\theta} & \sqrt{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{4 - (-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6})\cos 2\theta} \\ \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}\cos 2\theta} & \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}\cos 2\theta} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sin^2 \theta_{13} = \frac{1}{12} \left(4 + (\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6}) \cos 2\theta \right) , \quad \sin^2 \theta_{12} = \frac{4}{8 - (\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6}) \cos 2\theta} ,$$
$$\sin^2 \theta_{23} = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\sqrt{6}(\sqrt{3} - 1) \cos 2\theta}{8 - (\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6}) \cos 2\theta} \right) , \quad |J_{CP}| = \frac{|\sin 2\theta|}{6\sqrt{3}}$$



Angles and phases from $G_e = Z_3$, $Z = c^2$ and X_3 (Ding/Zhou ('13))

$$||U_{PMNS}|| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{4 - (\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6})\cos 2\theta} & \sqrt{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{4 + (\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6})\cos 2\theta} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{4 + (-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6})\cos 2\theta} & \sqrt{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{4 - (-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6})\cos 2\theta} \\ \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}\cos 2\theta} & \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}\cos 2\theta} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$|\sin \alpha| = \left| \frac{1 + \sqrt{3} - 2\sqrt{2}\cos 2\theta + (-1 + \sqrt{3})\sin 2\theta}{-4 + (\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6})\cos 2\theta} \right| ,$$
$$|\sin \beta| = \left| \frac{2\sin 2\theta}{-4 + (2 + \sqrt{3})\cos^2 2\theta} \right|$$



Angles and phases from $G_e = Z_3$, $Z = c^2$ and X_3 (Ding/Zhou ('13))

$$||U_{PMNS}|| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{4 - (\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6})\cos 2\theta} & \sqrt{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{4 + (\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6})\cos 2\theta} \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{4 + (-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6})\cos 2\theta} & \sqrt{2} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sqrt{4 - (-\sqrt{2} + \sqrt{6})\cos 2\theta} \\ \sqrt{2 + \sqrt{2}\cos 2\theta} & \sqrt{2} & \sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}\cos 2\theta} \end{pmatrix}$$

 $\sin^2 \theta_{13} \approx 0.023$, $\sin^2 \theta_{12} \approx 0.341$, $\sin^2 \theta_{23} \approx 0.426$, $|J_{CP}| \approx 0.0254$, and

 $|\sin \delta| \approx 0.735$, $|\sin \alpha| \approx 0.732$, $|\sin \beta| \approx 1$ for $\theta \approx 1.437$



Angles and phases from $G_e = Z_3$, $Z = c^2$ and X_3

(Ding/Zhou ('13))





Angles and phases from $G_e = Z_3$, $Z = c^2$ and X_3

(Ding/Zhou ('13))



- p. 55/79

Angles and phases from $G_e = Z_3$, $Z = c^2$ and X_3

(Ding/Zhou ('13))





Angles and phases from $G_e = Z_3$, $Z = c^2$ and X_3

(Ding/Zhou ('13))



$$Y_B = \left. \frac{n_B - n_{\bar{B}}}{s} \right|_0 = (8.77 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-11} \qquad \text{(WMAP ('08), Planck ('13))}$$

- this asymmetry can be explained by decay of heavy right-handed neutrinos (Fukugita/Yanagida ('86))
- the three Sakharov conditions are fulfilled (Sakharov ('67))



$$Y_B = \left. \frac{n_B - n_{\bar{B}}}{s} \right|_0 = (8.77 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-11} \qquad \text{(WMAP ('08), Planck ('13))}$$

- this asymmetry can be explained by decay of heavy right-handed neutrinos (Fukugita/Yanagida ('86))
- the three Sakharov conditions are fulfilled (Sakharov ('67))
 - C and CP violation: Yukawa couplings of right-handed neutrinos provide source of CP violation



$$Y_B = \left. \frac{n_B - n_{\bar{B}}}{s} \right|_0 = (8.77 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-11} \qquad \text{(WMAP ('08), Planck ('13))}$$

- this asymmetry can be explained by decay of heavy right-handed neutrinos (Fukugita/Yanagida ('86))
- the three Sakharov conditions are fulfilled (Sakharov ('67))
 - departure from thermal equilibrium: Yukawa interactions of right-handed neutrinos have slow enough rate $\Gamma < H$



$$Y_B = \left. \frac{n_B - n_{\bar{B}}}{s} \right|_0 = (8.77 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-11} \qquad \text{(WMAP ('08), Planck ('13))}$$

- this asymmetry can be explained by decay of heavy right-handed neutrinos (Fukugita/Yanagida ('86))
- the three Sakharov conditions are fulfilled (Sakharov ('67))
 - baryon number violation: Majorana masses violate lepton number so that lepton asymmetry is generated which is partially converted into baryon asymmetry via sphaleron processes



baryon asymmetry of the Universe is measured well

$$Y_B = \left. \frac{n_B - n_{\bar{B}}}{s} \right|_0 = (8.77 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-11} \qquad \text{(WMAP ('08), Planck ('13))}$$

- this asymmetry can be explained by decay of heavy right-handed neutrinos (Fukugita/Yanagida ('86))
- the three Sakharov conditions are fulfilled (Sakharov ('67))
- simplest scenario:

thermal leptogenesis in which asymmetry stems from N_1 decay (with no flavour effects)



baryon asymmetry of the Universe is measured well

$$Y_B = \left. \frac{n_B - n_{\bar{B}}}{s} \right|_0 = (8.77 \pm 0.24) \times 10^{-11} \qquad \text{(WMAP ('08), Planck ('13))}$$

- this asymmetry can be explained by decay of heavy right-handed neutrinos (Fukugita/Yanagida ('86))
- the three Sakharov conditions are fulfilled (Sakharov ('67))
- simplest scenario:

 $Y_B \sim 10^{-3} \epsilon \eta$ with ϵ CP asymmetry , η washout factor



• CP asymmetry ϵ

$$\epsilon_{\alpha\alpha} = \frac{\Gamma(N_1 \to Hl_{\alpha}) - \Gamma(N_1 \to H^*\bar{l}_{\alpha})}{\Gamma(N_1 \to Hl) + \Gamma(N_1 \to H^*\bar{l})}$$

 diagrammatically: the CP asymmetry arises from interference of tree-level diagram





• CP asymmetry ϵ

$$\epsilon_{\alpha\alpha} = \frac{\Gamma(N_1 \to H l_\alpha) - \Gamma(N_1 \to H^* \overline{l}_\alpha)}{\Gamma(N_1 \to H l) + \Gamma(N_1 \to H^* \overline{l})}$$

 diagrammatically: the CP asymmetry arises from interference of tree-level diagram and one-loop diagrams



• CP asymmetry ϵ

$$\epsilon_{\alpha\alpha} = \frac{\Gamma(N_1 \to Hl_{\alpha}) - \Gamma(N_1 \to H^*\bar{l}_{\alpha})}{\Gamma(N_1 \to Hl) + \Gamma(N_1 \to H^*\bar{l})}$$

• computation of ϵ in case of unflavoured leptogenesis

$$\epsilon = \frac{1}{8\pi} \sum_{j \neq 1} \frac{\operatorname{Im}\left((\hat{Y}_D \hat{Y}_D^{\dagger})_{j1}^2 \right)}{(\hat{Y}_D \hat{Y}_D^{\dagger})_{11}} f(x_j)$$

with $\hat{Y}_D = U_R^{\dagger} Y_D$ and $U_R^{\dagger} M_R U_R^{\star} = \text{diag}(M_1, M_2, M_3)$



• leptogenesis has been studied in several models with A_4 or S_4 flavour symmetry

(Jenkins/Manohar ('08), H et al. ('09), Bertuzzo et al. ('09), Aristizabal Sierra et al. ('09))

- $G_f \rightarrow G_e$ in charged lepton sector and m_e is diagonal
- $G_f \rightarrow G_{\nu} = Z_2(\times Z_2)$ in neutrino sector and M_R encodes mixing, while Y_D has trivial flavour structure



• leptogenesis has been studied in several models with A_4 or S_4 flavour symmetry

(Jenkins/Manohar ('08), H et al. ('09), Bertuzzo et al. ('09), Aristizabal Sierra et al. ('09))

- $G_f \rightarrow G_e$ in charged lepton sector and m_e is diagonal
- $G_f \rightarrow G_{\nu} = Z_2(\times Z_2)$ in neutrino sector and M_R encodes mixing, while Y_D has trivial flavour structure
- for generations in 3 and Y_D invariant under $G_f \epsilon$ vanishes



• leptogenesis has been studied in several models with A_4 or S_4 flavour symmetry

(Jenkins/Manohar ('08), H et al. ('09), Bertuzzo et al. ('09), Aristizabal Sierra et al. ('09))

- $G_f \rightarrow G_e$ in charged lepton sector and m_e is diagonal
- $G_f \rightarrow G_{\nu} = Z_2(\times Z_2)$ in neutrino sector and M_R encodes mixing, while Y_D has trivial flavour structure
- if residual G_{ν} is broken at level ε ,
 - $\epsilon \propto \varepsilon^2$ for unflavoured leptogenesis [$\epsilon \propto \varepsilon$ for flavoured leptogenesis]



• leptogenesis has been studied in several models with A_4 or S_4 flavour symmetry

(Jenkins/Manohar ('08), H et al. ('09), Bertuzzo et al. ('09), Aristizabal Sierra et al. ('09))

- $G_f \rightarrow G_e$ in charged lepton sector and m_e is diagonal
- $G_f \to G_{\nu} = Z_2(\times Z_2)$ in neutrino sector and M_R encodes mixing, while Y_D has trivial flavour structure

• if residual
$$G_{\nu}$$
 is broken at level ε ,

 $\epsilon \propto \varepsilon^2$ for unflavoured leptogenesis

 if *CP* is also a symmetry of the theory, constraints on phases and e.g. on sign of *ε* are expected

Leptogenesis in models with flavour and CP

Consider the following scenario

- $G_f \& CP \to G_e$ in charged lepton sector and m_e is diagonal
- $G_f \& CP \to G_{\nu} = Z_2 \times CP$ in neutrino sector and M_R encodes mixing, while Y_D has trivial flavour structure
- assume small breaking in Y_D at level ε which is real; e.g. for our example $G_f = S_4$

$$Y_D^0 + \delta Y_D = \begin{pmatrix} y_0 + a \varepsilon & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & y_0 + b \varepsilon \\ 0 & y_0 + c \varepsilon & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

• fit of reactor mixing angle requires $0.16 \lesssim \theta \lesssim 0.21$

Leptogenesis in models with flavour and CP

Result for ϵ from N_1 decays vs lightest neutrino mass m_0 $\varepsilon = \lambda^4 \approx 1.6 \times 10^{-3}$; normal ordering and best fit values of Δm_{ij}^2 (Capozzi et al. ('13)) assumed


Consider the following scenario

- $G_f \& CP \to G_e$ in charged lepton sector and m_e is diagonal
- $G_f \& CP \to G_{\nu} = Z_2 \times CP$ in neutrino sector and M_R encodes mixing, while Y_D has trivial flavour structure
- assume small breaking in Y_D at level ε which is real; e.g. for our example $G_f = \Delta(48)$

$$Y_D^0 + \delta Y_D = \begin{pmatrix} y_0 + (s+2t)\varepsilon & 0 & 0\\ 0 & y_0 + (s-t-\sqrt{3}u)\varepsilon & 0\\ 0 & 0 & y_0 + (s-t+\sqrt{3}u)\varepsilon \end{pmatrix}$$

• fit of reactor mixing angle constrains θ : $1.40 \leq \theta \leq 1.48$

Result for ϵ from N_1 decays vs lightest neutrino mass m_0 $\varepsilon = \lambda^4 \approx 1.6 \times 10^{-3}$; normal ordering and best fit values of Δm_{ij}^2 (Capozzi et al. ('13)) assumed



Notice: phases in K_{ν} can change sign of ϵ

We can understand this behaviour:

Look at
$$\operatorname{Im}\left((\hat{Y}_D \hat{Y}_D^{\dagger})_{j1}^2\right)$$
; for $j = 2$
 $2(-1)^{k_1} y_0^2 \varepsilon^2 \left(-t^2 - 2tu + u^2 - \sqrt{2}(t^2 + u^2)\cos 2\theta + (t^2 - 2tu - u^2)\sin 2\theta\right) + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)$
and for $j = 3$

$$4(-1)^{k_2}y_0^2\varepsilon^2 \left(-t^2+u^2\right)\sin 2\theta + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)$$



We can understand this behaviour:

Now expand for $\theta = \pi/2 + \kappa$ up to κ ; for j = 2

$$2(-1)^{k_1}y_0^2\varepsilon^2\left(t^2(-1+\sqrt{2}-2\kappa)+2tu(-1+2\kappa)+u^2(1+\sqrt{2}+2\kappa)\right)+\mathcal{O}(\kappa^2)$$

and for j = 3

$$8(-1)^{k_2} y_0^2 \varepsilon^2 (t-u)(t+u) \kappa$$



We can understand this behaviour:

Now expand for $\theta = \pi/2 + \kappa$ up to κ ; for j = 2

$$2(-1)^{k_1} y_0^2 \varepsilon^2 \left(t \sqrt{-1 + \sqrt{2} - 2\kappa} - u \sqrt{1 + \sqrt{2} + 2\kappa} \right)^2 + \mathcal{O}(\kappa^2)$$

and for j = 3

suppressed by κ

The loop function $f(x_j)$ acts as weighting factor of the different contributions.



Conclusions & outlook

- approach with flavour and CP symmetry strongly constrains lepton mixing
- results for $G_f = S_4$ or $G_f = \Delta(48)$ are encouraging
- leptogenesis can be studied in this approach



Conclusions & outlook

- continue study of different groups G_f ($\Delta(3n^2)$ and $\Delta(6n^2)$) and CP: new mixing patterns, consistent definition of CP, ...
- explore more phenomena which involve CP phases: 0νββ, electric dipole moments, phases of soft supersymmetry breaking terms, CKM phase, ...

Thank you for your attention.

